

Report to:	: West Yorkshire Combined Authority				
Date:	8 December 2022				
Subject:	Inflation: Transport Programmes				
Director:	Melanie Corcoran, Director of Delivery				
Author:	Caroline Farnham-Crossland, Transport Partnerships Lead				

Is this a key decision?	🛛 Yes	🗆 No
Is the decision eligible for call-in by Scrutiny?	🛛 Yes	🗆 No
Does the report contain confidential or exempt information or appendices?	🗆 Yes	🛛 No
If relevant, state paragraph number of Schedule 12A, Local Government Act 1972, Part 1:		
Are there implications for equality and diversity?	🛛 Yes	🗆 No

# 1. Purpose of this Report

- 1.1 To approve the programme savings identified for West Yorkshire Transport Fund (WYTF), Transforming Cities Fund (TCF) and City Region Sustainable Transport Settlement (CRSTS).
- 1.2 To approve the projects to be paused and pipelined in WYTF, TCF and CRSTS and to approve development costs to reach the next assurance process decision point; as set out in this report.
- 1.3 To approve the additional funding requests on projects in WYTF, TCF and CRSTS, as set out in this report.
- 1.4 To approve that both WYTF and TCF projects can be re-baselined based on the milestone information included in the report.

# 2. Introduction

2.1 On 10 November 2022, the Finance, Resources and Corporate Committee (FRCC) met to discuss the outcome of the inflation review work undertaken by the CA and district partners. The inflation review considered transport infrastructure schemes that could be delivered over a long period, where business cases and designs could continue and the schemes implemented by other future funding sources. A number of schemes are recommended to pause but most schemes will continue to be delivered and existing programmes will fund development work to the next decision point where

possible. By adding developed schemes to our pipeline we are building more robust future programmes and reducing overprogramming in our existing programmes, creating headroom on transport programmes, so that additional funding requests due to inflation can be addressed.

- 2.2 The FRCC recommended for approval to CA that the projects listed in **Appendix 1** should be added to the pipeline and the Revised Full Funding required to reach the pause decision point be approved.
- 2.3 The FRCC also recommended for approval at CA that the projects listed in **Appendix 2** should obtain additional funding to enable them to continue into delivery.
- 2.4 The FRCC also recommended that the revised milestones in **Appendix 3 and Appendix 4** should be approved at CA.

#### 3. Analysis and Assessment

- 3.1 Working collaboratively with district partners, the CA and district partners have identified projects to be paused and pipelined based on the following criteria:
  - financial viability.
  - deliverability (and delivery being within agreed timescales).
  - strategic fit and suitability against sustainable travel. West Yorkshire current strategic priorities give a focus to more sustainable travel options.
- 3.2 Working jointly with our Partner Councils, the assessment has been undertaken for each project within TF and TCF against this criterion.
- 3.3 A series of inflation review meetings were conducted jointly with the CA and Partner Council officers over the summer. In these meetings we worked collaboratively to assess projects that could be delivered over a longer timeframe, or continue to develop and add to a pipeline, or pause and add to a pipeline. This will reduce overprogramming and proactively address the rising inflation costs on our infrastructure schemes.
- 3.4 We have received positive responses from partner councils and we are collectively able to put forward a number of schemes which can be paused, pipelined or developed over longer timeframes. Collectively, we propose the release of costs from the current programmes of approx. £270m to ease the immediate pressure on budgets and to allow this funding to both mitigate current inflationary issues and be set aside to deliver key transport priorities on projects currently in development. By continuing to develop schemes we can include 'shovel ready' schemes on the pipeline which will be ready to apply for the residual and any future funding opportunities as and when it becomes available. Available funding will be prioritised for those schemes that demonstrate significant local transport outputs, benefits and deliver against our priorities such as the BSIP.
- 3.5 Engagement with Partner Council officers, portfolio holders and leaders has been crucial in shaping the recommendations being put forward in this report.

# 4. Principles

- 4.1 The projects recommended for pausing will, where possible, continue to be developed to the next decision point. After this stage, those projects identified as being able to pause, will be added to the pipeline to respond to alternative funding when it becomes available. This means projects will have a longer duration for delivery than previously planned. This allows us to address the immediate inflation issues on projects, whilst maintaining our transport portfolios for future funding opportunities.
- 4.2 Two Bus Park and Ride, and a number of Rail Park and Ride schemes will be paused and added to the pipeline. This will allow demand levels to be further reviewed as current demand levels have not yet returned to pre-covid levels. As demand increases we will further review schemes at that point.
- 4.3 The Corridor Improvement Programme Phase 2 projects will continue to be developed to the next decision point. After this decision point, these projects will be added to the pipeline to respond to alternative funding sources as they become available.
- 4.4 Some projects have already applied for alternative funding such as City Regional Sustainable Transport Settlement (CRSTS), Major Road Network (MRN) and Levelling Up Fund (LUF) Round 2. We have been successful in securing the CRSTS funding, and some projects have been identified to transfer to the CRSTS programme. We are awaiting decisions on whether projects have been successful in securing MRN and LUF2 funding.
- 4.5 A number of TCF corridor projects will be taken forward to the next development stage and the intention will be to identify elements of these that meet our BSIP priorities and take these into final development and delivery stages subject to these meeting the desired expectations around outputs, benefits and quality standards.
- 4.6 The funding from the pause and pipeline projects will be returned into the Transport Fund overprogramming and be used to create headroom. It will not be returned to individual programmes.

# 5. Schemes to be developed further and added to the Transport Pipeline

- 5.1 The table in **Appendix 1** lists the pause and pipeline projects that have been identified. It also includes those projects where alternative funding sources have been bid for, the indicative funding approvals, the next decision point that these projects will be developed to before being paused and pipelined and the Revised Full Funding required to reach the pause decision point be approved.
- 5.2 The table in **Appendix 2** shows the additional funding requests on projects that have been prioritised and the new indicative / full funding total. Please note that funding requests highlighted in red are full funding approvals.
- 5.3 Both appendices list current expenditure, this was taken from Q1 data so may be different after Q2 claims have been processed.

## 6. Cross Boundary schemes

- 6.1. As detailed in the district information above, there are a number of cross boundary schemes that have been considered as part of the inflation review. The recommendations for these are detailed below:
  - A641 Calderdale, Kirklees, Bradford- recommend continue to develop and deliver through Transport Fund
  - A629 Ph4- Calderdale, Kirklees- recommend phase 4 is paused and added to pipeline to seek alternative funding.
  - M2D2L- Kirklees, Leeds- recommend to continue to develop to FBC and deliver activity in Leeds, within budget and add to pipeline for unfunded elements.
- 6.2 There are two cross boundary schemes within the TCF Programme, A61 and A639, both being delivered by Leeds and Wakefield. The recommended approach to these have been agreed between the partners and have been detailed in the previous sections of this report.
  - A61 Leeds, Wakefield- continue to develop to OBC, with Wakefield elements developed to FBC.
  - A639 Leeds, Wakefield- continue to develop to OBC with limited delivery funded and the main works added to the pipeline.

	TF		тс	F	CRSTS	Ad	ditional TF	Ad	ditional TCF	Addi	tional CRSTS	District / CA total
Bradford	£	106,736,000	£	16,259,000				-£	16,259,000			£106,736,000
Calderdale	£	35,575,000	£	17,500,000		-£	15,217,323	-£	4,251,343			£33,606,334
Kirklees	£	33,470,756	£	5,300,000		-£	5,800,000	-£	2,448,000			£30,522,756
Leeds	£	17,732,000	£	28,540,000	£ 14,000,000	-£	8,100,000	-£	10,353,214	-£	3,000,000	£38,818,786
Wakefield	£	10,855,000	£	19,175,005		-£	2,500,000					£27,530,005
CA	£	26,828,167	£	10,000,000				-£	7,547,000			£29,281,167
TOTALS	£	231,196,923	£	96,774,005	£ 14,000,000	-£	31,617,323	-£	40,858,557	-£	3,000,000	£266,495,048
Total Savings	£	341,970,928										
Total Additional Ask	-£	75,475,880										
OVERALL	£	266,495,048										
Headroom	£	80,196,923		£84,742,607								

#### 7. Financial Summary

- 7.1 The table above shows overall net savings of £266m have been identified across all transport programmes. This reduces over-programming and allows for some contingency/headroom in both the TCF and TF programmes and within TCF this also allows us to identify key elements of the corridor schemes in the programme that can be delivered to meet TCF and BSIP priorities. It also results in a strong pipeline of developed schemes that are ready to come forward when future funding becomes available.
- 7.2 The detail of the individual projects to be paused and pipelined is found in **Appendix 1**.

# 8. Assurance Framework Implications

- 8.1 The approval of the recommendations in this report will mean that no further approvals for the changes requested will be required through the Assurance Framework. Projects will continue on their approval pathways and routes, as set out in their existing approvals or revised through this report, to the next decision point.
- 8.2 Deeds of variation will be executed to enable the changes to finances and milestones to be enacted. A recommendation is included to allow this.
- 8.3 Projects will only spend within their current funding allocation to get them to the next decision point and will not require additional funding other than funding that has been requested through the inflation review. The new indicative / full approvals have been detailed in **Appendix 1 and 2**.
- 8.4 Projects will continue to be developed to relevant quality standards, e.g. LTN/120 to ensure those that continue into delivery or continue onto a pipeline maintain the quality standards required for transport projects. Some schemes have had change requests or business cases for consideration through the Assurance Framework during this programme review period.

# 9. Consultations and discussions

Group / Committee	When	Complete		
Finance and Resources Committee (FRCC)	July 2022	Complete		
Chief Highways Officers	July 2022 onwards	Complete		
Directors of Development	July 2022 onwards	Complete		
Chief Executives	July & October 2022	Complete		
The Mayor and Council Leaders	July & 13 October 2022	Complete		
FRCC	10 November 2022	Complete		
Combined Authority	8 December 2022	Complete		
Transport Committee	14 December 2022			

9.1 The following consultations and discussions have taken place in making the recommendations in this report.

# 10. DfT

10.1 The TCF and CRSTS programmes were developed with DfT approval of named projects and outputs. DfT have confirmed that they do not need to

review/approve the notion or process of an authority-led Inflation review. However, DfT would want to review the changes the authority wants to make as a result of that review, if they fall under the change control criteria for the relevant scheme/s (£20m change to projects requires DfT approval, below £20m change is assured through the CA assurance framework).

#### 11. Timescales Review

- 11.1 The annual Transport Fund Review requires districts to submit information relating to changes to finances and milestones on all transport projects. As a result of the urgent inflation work, the decision was made to utilise the inflation review to assess the milestone timescales information on projects with a view to resetting baseline milestone data based on any changes to timescales on projects. This is in place of undertaking a separate review. The outcome of this milestone review is included in **Appendix 3**.
- 11.2 It is worth noting that increases in project timescales could result in further cost increases as inflation rates are expected to increase further.
- 11.3 A parallel review of project key milestones and timescales has taken place on the TCF Programme. The new assurance milestone dates are included in this report as **Appendix 4** and are recommended for approval.

# 12. Tackling the Climate Emergency Implications

12.1 Through the scoring criteria, analysis has been done on the sustainability of projects. As a result of this analysis, projects have been assessed against their ability to meet sustainable travel aims. Those projects which had a RAG rating of red or amber against financial viability, deliverability or sustainable travel were considered for the pause and pipeline option. Therefore, those projects which meet sustainable travel objectives, and are affordable and deliverable remained in their current transport programme.

# 13. Inclusive Growth Implications

13.1 Through the scoring criteria, work has been undertaken to prioritise projects that have sustainable travel elements to them to ensure that public infrastructure projects continue to progress. This will mean access to employment, skills and training will continue.

# 14. Equality and Diversity Implications

14.1 All projects are required to develop Equality Impact Assessments as part of their development and delivery stages. These are submitted for review as part of the project appraisal process and inform recommendations and decisions on projects progression.

# 15. Financial Implications

15.1 The recommendations, if approved, will reduce the pressure on the capital programme. The Combined Authority will continue to review the economic climate and take advice on how future inflation trends could impact on its programme of works.

#### 16. Legal Implications

16.1 There may be legal implications if grant funding agreements have already been issued and where these projects are paused and pipelined. This may result in deeds of variations needing to be executed.

#### 17. Staffing Implications

- 17.1 There are potentially some staffing implications as some projects will be paused and pipelined. However, projects are being taken to the next decision point in most cases in order to get them into a viable position to take forward once alternative funding has been identified.
- 17.2 Within the Combined Authority we operate a flexible pool of resources that can work across transport programmes. These resources are deployed to new funding streams as they arise. An exercise is also being undertaken to move projects to the most appropriate programme team where there is dual funding, rather than the project being managed by two separate teams.

#### 18. External Consultees

18.1 No external consultations have been undertaken.

#### 19. Recommendations

- 19.1 That the Combined Authority approve:
  - i) The projects to be pipelined and the Revised Full Funding required to reach the pause decision point, as set out in this report. (Appendix 1).
  - ii) The new project indicative / full allocations, as set out in this report (Appendix 2).
  - iii) The additional funding requests on projects in WYTF, TCF and CRSTS, as set out in this report.
  - iv) The re-baselined based on the milestone information included in the report (Appendix 3 and 4).
  - v) That no further approvals for the changes requested will be required through the Assurance Framework and that projects continue on their approval pathways and routes to the next decision point.
  - vi) The Combined Authority enters into a Funding Agreement or an addendum to the existing Funding Agreement with the relevant partner for expenditure as detailed in Appendix 1 and 2 and for milestone dates as detailed in Appendix 3 and 4.

#### 20. Background Documents

20.1 There are no background documents referenced in this report.

# 21. Appendices

- Appendix 1 List of pipeline projects, including projects with alternative funding sources, new indicative allocations and next decision point information.
- Appendix 2 List of additional funding requests, including new indicative allocations.
- Appendix 3 Transport Fund revised milestone data
- Appendix 4 TCF revised milestone data